

Development of SNAP Potentials for Fusion Reactor Materials





Mary Alice Cusentino¹, Mitch Wood², and Aidan Thompson² ¹Material, Physical, and Chemical Sciences Center

²Center for Computing Research

2021 LAMMPS Workshop

August 12 2021



Sandia National Laboratories is a multimission laboratory managed and operated by National Technology & Engineering Solutions of Sandia, LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of Honeywell International Inc., for the U.S. Department of Energy's National Nuclear Security Administration under contract DE-NA0003525.

- ² Materials for Fusion Energy
- Difficult to develop materials to handle extreme conditions within tokamak
- •Large heat loads of $10-20 \text{ MW/m}^3$
- High particles fluxes of ~10²⁴ m⁻²s⁻¹ of mixed ion species (H/He/Be/N etc.)



Beryllium First Wall

Tungsten Divertor

iter.org

GD

³ Materials for Fusion Energy

- Difficult to develop materials to handle extreme conditions within tokamak
- •Large heat loads of $10-20 \text{ MW/m}^3$
- High particles fluxes of ~10²⁴ m⁻²s⁻¹ of mixed ion species (H/He/Be/N etc.)



Beryllium First Wall



Tungsten Divertor

iter.org

• Many complex processes that occur at the plasma/material interface that can lead to material degradation

Plasma Material Interactions in Tungsten

Helium Fuzz Growth

Kajita, et al. J. Nucl. Mater, 418, (2011) 152-158

W-Be Intermetallics

Be₁₂W

W

4

Be deposits (surface)



Baldwin, et. al. J. Nucl. Mater. 363-365 (2007) 1179-1183

Material Degredation



Kajita, et al. Nucl. Fus. 471, 886-890 (2007)

Hydrogen Blisters



Ye, et al. J. Nucl. Mater. 313-316, 72-76 (2003)



Plasma Material Interactions in Tungsten

Helium Fuzz Growth



Kajita, et al. J. Nucl. Mater, 418, (2011) 152-158

W-Be Intermetallics

Be₁₂W

W

5

Be deposits (surface)



Baldwin, et. al. J. Nucl. Mater. 363-365 (2007) 1179-1183

Material Degredation



Kajita, et al. Nucl. Fus. 471, 886-890 (2007)

Hydrogen Blisters



Ye, et al. J. Nucl. Mater. 313-316, 72-76 (2003)

Tritium Retention



Effect of He on H Blistering



Ueda, et. al. J. Nucl. Mater. 386-388 (2009) 725-728

Effect of Plasma Impurities on Hydrogen Retention



Kreter, et al. Nucl. Fus. 59, 086029 (2019)

Plasma Material Interactions in Tungsten

Helium Fuzz Growth

6

Be₁₂W



Material Degredation



Kajita, et al. Nucl. Fus. 471, 886-890 (2007)

Hydrogen Blisters



Ye, et al. J. Nucl. Mater. 313-316, 72-76 (2003)

Tritium Retention



Effect of He on H Blistering



Ueda, et. al. J. Nucl. Mater. 386-388 (2009) 725-728

Effect of Plasma Impurities on Hydrogen Retention



Kreter, et al. Nucl. Fus.. 59, 086029 (2019)

W-Be Intermetallics

Be deposits (surface)

Kajita, et al. 1 Mater, 418, (2011)



SNAP Definition and Work Flow

Model Form

7

• Energy of atom i expressed as a basis expansion over K components of the bispectrum (B_k^i)

$$E_{SNAP}^{i} = \beta_{0} + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \beta_{k} (B_{k}^{i} - B_{k0}^{i})$$

Regression Method

- *B* vector fully describes a SNAP potential
- Decouples MD speed from training set size

$$\min(||\mathbf{w} \cdot D\boldsymbol{\beta} - T||^2 - \gamma_n ||\boldsymbol{\beta}||^n)$$

Weights Set of Descriptors DFT Training

SNAP Definition and Work Flow

Model Form

8

• Energy of atom i expressed as a basis expansion over K components of the bispectrum (B_k^i)

$$E_{SNAP}^{i} = \beta_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \beta_k (B_k^{i} - B_{k0}^{i})$$

Regression Method

- *B* vector fully describes a SNAP potential
- Decouples MD speed from training set size





Code available: https://github.com/FitSNAP/FitSNAP

M. A. Wood, M.A. Cusentino, B.D. Wirth and A.P. Thompson, Phys. Rev. B 99, 184305

, Tungsten-Beryllium SNAP Fitting

- Initially fit SNAP potential for pure elements
- Making a multi-element SNAP potential does sacrifice some accuracy from either pure component fit.
- Training set includes W-Be intermetallic structures

| Description | N_E | N_F | σ_E | σ_F |
|--------------------------------|-----------------|----------|------------------|-----------------------|
| W-Be: | | | | |
| Elastic Deform [†] | 3946 | 68040 | $3\cdot10^{5}$ | $2\cdot 10^3$ |
| Equation of State [†] | 1113 | 39627 | $2\cdot 10^5$ | $4\cdot 10^4$ |
| $DFT-MD^{\dagger}$ | 3360 | 497124 | $7\cdot 10^4$ | $6 \cdot 10^2$ |
| Surface Adhesion | 381 | 112527 | $2 \cdot 10^4$ | $9\cdot\mathbf{10^4}$ |
| † Multiple crystal | phases | included | l in this g | group: |
| B ₂ | -12 | | C ₁₄ | |
| C ₁₅ | C ₃₆ | | D ₂ b | |

M. A. Wood, M.A. Cusentino, B.D. Wirth and A.P. Thompson, Phys. Rev. B 99, 184305
 C. Björkas et al 2010 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 22 352206

¹⁰ Tungsten-Beryllium SNAP Fitting

- Initially fit SNAP potential for pure elements
- Making a multi-element SNAP potential does sacrifice some accuracy from either pure component fit.
- Training set includes W-Be intermetallic structures

| Description | N_E | N_F | σ_E | σ_F |
|--------------------------------|-----------------|----------|------------------|-----------------------|
| W-Be: | | | | |
| Elastic Deform [†] | 3946 | 68040 | $3\cdot10^{5}$ | $2 \cdot 10^3$ |
| Equation of State [†] | 1113 | 39627 | $2\cdot 10^5$ | $4\cdot 10^4$ |
| $DFT-MD^{\dagger}$ | 3360 | 497124 | $7\cdot 10^4$ | $6 \cdot 10^2$ |
| Surface Adhesion | 381 | 112527 | $2 \cdot 10^4$ | $9\cdot\mathbf{10^4}$ |
| † Multiple crystal | phases | included | l in this g | group: |
| B ₂ | -12 | | C ₁₄ | |
| C ₁₅ | C ₃₆ | | D ₂ b | |

[1] M. A. Wood, M.A. Cusentino, B.D. Wirth and A.P. Thompson, Phys. Rev. B 99, 184305[2] C. Björkas et al 2010 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 22 352206

| | | | - | . , |
|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| Phase | Composition | DFT ¹ | SNAP ¹ | BOP ² |
| B ₂ | WBe | 0.67 | 0.30 | -2.20 |
| C ₁₄ | WBe ₂ | -0.87 | -1.27 | -4.20 |
| C ₁₅ | WBe ₂ | -0.92 | -1.15 | -4.19 |
| C ₁₆ | WBe ₂ | -0.90 | -1.22 | -4.20 |
| L ₁₂ | WBe ₃ | -0.51 | -0.15 | -4.58 |
| D_2B | WBe ₁₂ | -0.96 | -0.34 | -6.69 |

W-Be Intermetallic Formation Energies (eV)



¹¹ Tungsten-Beryllium SNAP Fitting

- Initially fit SNAP potential for pure elements
- Making a multi-element SNAP potential does sacrifice some accuracy from either pure component fit.
- Training set includes W-Be intermetallic structures

| Description | N_E | N_F | σ_E | σ_F |
|--------------------------------|-----------------|----------|------------------|-----------------------|
| W-Be: | | | | |
| Elastic Deform [†] | 3946 | 68040 | $3\cdot10^{5}$ | $2 \cdot 10^3$ |
| Equation of State [†] | 1113 | 39627 | $2\cdot 10^5$ | $4\cdot 10^4$ |
| $DFT-MD^{\dagger}$ | 3360 | 497124 | $7\cdot 10^4$ | $6 \cdot 10^2$ |
| Surface Adhesion | 381 | 112527 | $2 \cdot 10^4$ | $9\cdot\mathbf{10^4}$ |
| † Multiple crystal | phases | included | l in this g | group: |
| B ₂ | -12 | | C ₁₄ | |
| C ₁₅ | C ₃₆ | | D ₂ b | |

M. A. Wood, M.A. Cusentino, B.D. Wirth and A.P. Thompson, Phys. Rev. B 99, 184305
 C. Björkas et al 2010 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 22 352206

W-Be Intermetallic Formation Energies (eV)



| Phase | Composition | DFT ¹ | SNAP ¹ | BOP ² |
|------------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| B ₂ | WBe | 0.67 | 0.30 | -2.20 |
| C ₁₄ | WBe ₂ | -0.87 | -1.27 | -4.20 |
| C ₁₅ | WBe ₂ | -0.92 | -1.15 | -4.19 |
| C ₁₆ | WBe ₂ | -0.90 | -1.22 | -4.20 |
| L ₁₂ | WBe ₃ | -0.51 | -0.15 | -4.58 |
| D_2B | WBe ₁₂ | -0.96 | -0.34 | -6.69 |

Be Defect Formation Energies in W (eV)

| | DFT ¹ | SNAP ¹ | BOP ² |
|---------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| [111] Dumbbell | 4.30 | 3.66 | 0.67 |
| Substitution | 3.11 | 3.29 | -2.00 |
| Surface Hollow Site | -1.05 | -1.39 | -3.52 |
| Tetrahedral | 4.13 | 4.20 | -0.28 |
| [110] Dumbbell | 4.86 | 4.29 | -0.03 |
| Octahedral | 3.0 | 5.11 | 0.34 |
| Surface Bridge Site | 1.01 | 0.44 | -1.30 |

Beryllium Deposition Results in Near Surface Mixed Layer

• High energy (75 eV) and low energy (0 eV) beryllium deposition on tungsten surfaces

Experimentally Observed W-Be Intermetallics



Baldwin, et. al. J. Nucl. Mater. 363-365 (2007) 1179-1183

Beryllium Deposition Results in Near Surface Mixed Layer



- High energy (75 eV) and low energy (0 eV) beryllium deposition on tungsten surfaces
- Formation of disordered mixed materials layer in first 2 nm of surface

• Some intermetallic growth observed within mixed materials layer

Experimentally Observed W-Be Intermetallics



Baldwin, et. al. J. Nucl. Mater. 363-365 (2007) 1179-1183

Fluence: 1.4 x 10²⁰ m⁻²

Cusentino, et al. Nucl. Fusion, accepted

Beryllium Deposition Results in Near Surface Mixed Layer



14

Cusentino, et al. Nucl. Fusion, accepted

- High energy (75 eV) and low energy (0 eV) beryllium deposition on tungsten surfaces
- Formation of disordered mixed materials layer in first 2 nm of surface

- Some intermetallic growth observed within mixed materials layer
- However, mixed materials layer appears to be kinetically trapped at MD time scales

Experimentally Observed W-Be Intermetallics



Baldwin, et. al. J. Nucl. Mater. 363-365 (2007) 1179-1183

Cumulative He Implantation in W and W-Be at 2.5 x 10^{19} m⁻²



Increasing TIme



15



Amorphous W-Be



Blue: He Purple: Be Gray: W



Cumulative He Implantation in W and W-Be at 2.5 x 10^{19} m⁻²



Increasing TIme



16

Amorphous W-Be



Blue: He Purple: Be Gray: W

WBe₂ C14 Structure



Cumulative He Implantation in W and W-Be at 2.5 x 10^{19} m⁻²

•



¹⁸ Extending SNAP for W-H and W-N

- Additional training data needed
- Pure H/N data:
 - Dimers, trimers, DT-MD of gas dimers



W: Grey H: Green N: Pink

¹⁹ Extending SNAP for W-H and W-N

- Additional training data needed
- Pure H/N data:
 - Dimers, trimers, DT-MD of gas dimers
- W-H and W-N data:
 - Bulk defects, monomers/dimers on surface, liquids
 - $W_x N_y$ bulk configurations

Surface Structures





Bulk Structures







W: Grey H: Green N: Pink

²⁰ Extending SNAP for W-H and W-N

- Additional training data needed
- Pure H/N data:
 - Dimers, trimers, DT-MD of gas dimers
- W-H and W-N data:
 - Bulk defects, monomers/dimers on surface, liquids
 - $W_x N_y$ bulk configurations
- Additional objective functions added:
 - W-H/N bulk defect formation energies
 - H/N surface adsorption energies
 - W_xN_y cohesive energies

Surface Structures





Bulk Structures









²¹ Challenges in Developing W-H and W-N SNAP Potentials

- Have never used SNAP for gaseous species before
- Hydrogen and nitrogen training data is also more sparse compared to crystalline structures i.e. tungsten
- Difficult resides in how to get correct gas behavior (like forming dimers but not trimers) without inherent physics built-in to potential form

²² Challenges in Developing W-H and W-N SNAP Potentials

- Have never used SNAP for gaseous species before
- Hydrogen and nitrogen training data is also more sparse compared to crystalline structures i.e. tungsten
- Difficult resides in how to get correct gas behavior (like forming dimers but not trimers) without inherent physics built-in to potential form

Poor Clustering Behavior



²³ Challenges in Developing W-H and W-N SNAP Potentials

- Have never used SNAP for gaseous species before
- Hydrogen and nitrogen training data is also more sparse compared to crystalline structures i.e. tungsten
- Difficult resides in how to get correct gas behavior (like forming dimers but not trimers) without inherent physics built-in to potential form

Potential Contracting Conversion Inverse Conversion of the second secon

1.0

0.5

0.0

1.5

r (Å)

2.0

3.0

Hydrogen Binding Curves



- Green is nominal H2 energy
- Reproduces correct binding curves

Poor Clustering Behavior





Changes to Fitting Results in Better Hydrogen and Nitrogen Potentials

- Modifications to fitting workflow yielded better results in reproducing correct gas species behavior
- Adjustments included:

24

- Only including training data near potential energy well
- Making radial cutoff much shorter (1.5 Å for H and 2.0 Å for N) compared to W (4.6 Å)
- Adding extra objective function for dynamics behavior
- Adjusted ZBL cutoff
- Adjusted objective function for binding curves



h

Potential for H on Surfaces

| | DFT (eV) | SNAP (eV) |
|-------------------|----------|-----------|
| (100) Ads. Site | Bridge | Bridge |
| (110) Ads. Energy | -0.96 | -0.95 |
| (100) Ads. Site | Hollow | Hollow |
| (110) Ads. Energy | -0.75 | -0.43 |

NEB Surface Hop

Top-down Surface View





26

Potential for H on Surfaces

| | DFT (eV) | SNAP (eV) |
|-------------------|----------|-----------|
| (100) Ads. Site | Bridge | Bridge |
| (110) Ads. Energy | -0.96 | -0.95 |
| (100) Ads. Site | Hollow | Hollow |
| (110) Ads. Energy | -0.75 | -0.43 |

NEB Surface Hop







Potential for H in Bulk

| | DFT | SNAP |
|-----------------|------|------|
| $E_f^{Tet}(eV)$ | 0.88 | 0.88 |
| $E_f^{Oct}(eV)$ | 1.26 | 1.26 |
| $E_f^{Sub}(eV)$ | 4.08 | 4.02 |

Bulk Tungsten





27

Potential for H on Surfaces

| | DFT (eV) | SNAP (eV) |
|-------------------|----------|-----------|
| (100) Ads. Site | Bridge | Bridge |
| (110) Ads. Energy | -0.96 | -0.95 |
| (100) Ads. Site | Hollow | Hollow |
| (110) Ads. Energy | -0.75 | -0.43 |

NEB Surface Hop







| | DFT | SNAP |
|-------------------|------|------|
| $E_{f}^{Tet}(eV)$ | 0.88 | 8.89 |
| $E_f^{Oct}(eV)$ | 1.26 | 9.34 |
| $E_{f}^{Sub}(eV)$ | 4.08 | 3.87 |

Potential for H in Bulk

| | DFT | SNAP |
|-------------------|------|------|
| $E_f^{Tet}(eV)$ | 0.88 | 0.88 |
| $E_f^{Oct}(eV)$ | 1.26 | 1.26 |
| $E_{f}^{Sub}(eV)$ | 4.08 | 4.02 |

Bulk Tungsten



| | DFT (eV) | SNAP (eV) |
|-------------------|----------|-----------|
| (100) Ads. Site | Bridge | Hollow |
| (110) Ads. Energy | -0.96 | -3.54 |
| (100) Ads. Site | Hollow | Hollow |
| (110) Ads. Energy | -0.75 | -4.94 |

Potential for H on Surfaces

28

Potential for H in Bulk



29 Summary

- SNAP is a versatile ML interatomic potential that has been applied to a variety of materials including materials for fusion energy
- A W-Be SNAP potential has been developed and used to study Be implantation in W and extended to simulation He implantation W-Be materials
- The current SNAP potential is being extended for W-H and W-N and SNAP can reproduce gas species behavior both in vacuum and in metals
- Future work entails the development of one W-Be-H-He-N potential for studying fusion energy materials







Office of Science

250

of Atoms 120

Number 20



30 Backup Slides

³¹ MD Approximations Change Over Time



Training Data

- Generated using quantum methods
- Can include:

32

- Energies
- Forces
- Stresses
- Variety of atomic configurations
 - Bulk structures, liquids,
 surfaces, defects, etc.



<u>Descriptor</u>

- Describes the local atomic environment
- Requirements
 - Rotation/Translation/. Permutation invariant
 - Equivariant forces
 - Smooth differentiable
 - Extensible
- Some Examples
 - Bispectrum, SOAP, ACE, Moment Tensors, etc.



Regression Method

- Linear regression
- Kernel ridge regression
- Gaussian process
- Non-linear optimization
- Neural Networks

<u>SNAP</u>

- Energies, forces, and stresses from DFT
- Bispectrum component descriptors
- Linear regression

Testing Potentials: Hydrogen Implantation in Tungsten

• Interested in studying hydrogen implantation in tungsten and how it interacts within the material, especially with other plasma species or defects

33

- Initial testing of W-H SNAP potentials for hydrogen implantions
- 100 eV H implanted every 10 ps at 1000 K for (100) W surface
- Hydrogen correctly initially resides at tetrahedral interstitial site
- Diffusion barrier is somewhat high so diffusion is lower than expected
- EAM does not predict correct surface behavior and desorbs as H atoms as opposed to H_2 molecules

